

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,396	04/10/2001	James Cahill	1968.1-1C	8541
24243	7590 06/18/2003			
	SON & BUCHACA		EXAMINER	
SUITE 150	CIRCLE SOUTH		BRITTAIN,	JAMES R
SAN DIEGO, CA 92108-3412			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	ı

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)		
	09/832,396	CAHILL, JAMES		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	James R. Brittain	3677		
All Participants:	Status of Applicati n: <u>Re</u>	iected 11/18/02		
(1) <u>James R. Brittain</u> .	(3)	·		
(2) John D. Buchaca.	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2003</u>	Time: <u>12:05</u>			
	nt's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
None				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL Se Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
are not room; in roomaton or all rooms. At short sufficient	, _ ,			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature - if appropriate)		

آية « **(**

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I inquired as to whether a response had been sent to the office action mailed 11/18/02 because none had been received, this being preparation for mailing of the notice of abandonment. Mr. Buchaca informed me that a response had been sent. I informed Mr. Buchaca that we did not have a copy of the response and that he would need to institute a procedure to withdraw a holding of abandonment and Mr. Buchaca said he would.